arXiv:1411.7816v1 [cs.IT] 28 Nov 2014

Erratum to "Lattice constellation and codes from quadratic number fields" [IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 47, No. 4, May. 2001]

Murat Güzeltepe
Department of Mathematics, Sakarya University, TR54187 Sakarya, Turkey

Abstract

We correct a partial mistake for a metric presented in the article "Lattice constellation and codes from quadratic number fields" [IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 47, No. 4, May. 2001]. We show that the metric defined in the article is not true, therefore, this brings about to destroy the encoding and decoding procedures. Also, we define a proper metric for some codes defined in the article and show that there exist some $1-$error correcting perfect codes with respect to this new metric.

AMS Classification: 94B05, 94B60
Keywords: Block codes, Mannheim distance, Cyclic codes, Syndrome decoding

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In this Section, we show that the metric defined in [1] is not a true metric. Later, we define a proper Mannheim distance over $A_p[w]$. Note that the matric given in [1] is inspired by the Mannheim metric introduced in [2]. Unfortunately, it is proved that the Mannheim metric is incorrect in [3].

In [1], labeling procedure for the elements of $A_p[w]$ by elements of the Galois field of order p, $GF(p)$, has been given as follows:

i) Given a prime p that splits completely over $\mathcal{Z}[w]$, let $\pi = a + bw$ be a solution of $N(\pi) = \pi\overline{\pi} = p$, where $\mathcal{Z}$ denotes the set of all integers, and $\overline{\pi}$ denotes the conjugate of π.

ii) Let $s \in \mathcal{Z}$ be the only solution (in r) to the equation $a + br \equiv 0, \ (mod\ p)$, where $0 \leq r \leq p-1$.

iii) The element $l \in GF(p)$ is the label of the point $\alpha = x + yw \in \mathcal{Z}[w]$ if $x + sy \equiv l \ (mod\ p)$ and $N(\alpha)$ is minimum.

Example 1 *Let $d = -3$ and $p = 7 \equiv 1 \ (mod\ 6)$.*

i) A solution to the equation $N(\alpha) = a^2 + ab + \frac{1-d}{4}b^2 = 7$ is given by $(a, b) = (1, 2)$. Thus, we can take $\pi = 1 + 2w$.

ii)The only solution to the equation $1 + 2r \equiv 0 \ (mod\ 7)$, where $0 \leq r \leq 6$ is 3.

iii) The element l is the label of the point $\alpha = x + yw \in \mathcal{Z}[w]$, if $x + 3y \equiv l \ (mod\ 7)$ and $N(\alpha)$ is minimum. Hence, the set $A_7[w]$ is obtained as $\left\{0, \pm 1, \pm w, \pm w^2 = \pm\overline{w}\right\}$. The set $A_7[w]$ is a finite field.

Example 2 *Let $d = -3$ and $p = 193 \equiv 1 \ (mod\ 6)$.*

i) A solution to the equation $N(\alpha) = a^2 + ab + \frac{1-d}{4}b^2 = 7$ is given by $(a, b) = (7, 9)$. Thus, we can take $\pi = 7 + 9w$.

ii)The only solution to the equation $7+9r \equiv 0 \ (mod\ 193)$, where $0 \leq r \leq 192$ is 85.

iii) The element l is the label of the point $\alpha = x + yw \in \mathcal{Z}[w]$, if $x + 85y \equiv l \ (mod\ 193)$ and $N(\alpha)$ is minimum. Some elements of the finite field $A_{193}[w]$ are $9 \equiv -7 + 7w$, $94 \equiv 2 - 8w$, $108 \equiv -w \ (mod\ (7 + 9w))$.

Definition 1 *[1] Given an element $\gamma = x + yw \in A_p[w]$, the Mannheim weight of γ is defined as*

$$W_M(\gamma) = |x| + |y|\,.$$

Also, the Mannheim distance between any two elements α and β in $A_p[w]$ is defined as

$$d_M(\alpha, \beta) = W_M(\delta),$$

where $\delta \equiv \alpha - \beta \ (mod\ \langle\pi\rangle)$, $\delta \in A_p[w]$ with $N(\delta)$ minimum.

But, $d_M(\alpha, \beta) = W_M(\delta)$ is not a true metric since it does not fulfil the triangular inequality.

Example 3 *Let $d = -3$ and $p = 193 \equiv 1 \ (mod\ 6)$. Then, $\pi = 7 + 9w$ and $r = 85$. Consider $A_{193}[w]$ and the elements $x = -6+7w$, $y = 1$, and $z = 1-w$. The inequality*

$$d_M(x, y) \leq d_M(x, z) + d_M(z, y)$$

should be verified, but this is not true:

- *$d_M(x, y) = 14$ since $x - y = -7 + 7w$ with minimum norm $N(-7 + 7w) = 49$;*
- *$d_M(x, z) = 10$ since $x - z = 2 - 8w$ with minimum norm $N(2 - 8w) = 52$;*
- *$d_M(z, y) = 1$ since $z - y = -w$ with minimum norm $N(-w) = 1$.*

Now, we define a Mannheim metric over $A_p[w]$.

We denote the set of units in $A_p[w]$ by $\mathcal{E}$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{E}$ is the union of a set as indicated below:

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\mp 1, \mp\omega, \mp\overline{\omega}\}\,. \tag{1}$$

We note that for any two distinct elements ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 in $\mathcal{E}$

$$N(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}. \tag{2}$$

Hence, if $\pi\overline{\pi}$ is equal to a prime number $p \geq 7$, $p \equiv 1 \ (mod\ 6)$ we may conclude that the elements in $\mathcal{E}$ represent 6 distinct elements in $A_p[w]$.

Consider the direct product $S = A_p[w]^n$ of n copies of $A_p[w]$. We say that two elements, or *words*, $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ in $A_p[w]^n$ have distance one, $\mathrm{d}_m(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 1$, if there is a word $\bar{e} = (0, \ldots, 0, \epsilon, 0, \ldots, 0)$, with just one non-zero entry such that

$$\bar{y} = \bar{x} + \bar{e},$$

for a unique element ϵ in a set $\mathcal{E}$.

With terminology from graph theory, it is now easy to explain how we can define a metric in $A_p[w]^n$. Consider the words of S as vertices in a graph, where there is an edge between two vertices $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ if $\mathrm{d}_m(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 1$. The *distance* $\mathrm{d}_m(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ between any two vertices $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{b}$ is the length of the shortest path between these two vertices. General results from graph theory give that this distance function defines a metric in S.

If $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as in Eq. (1), then the metric obtained in $A_p[w]^n$ is called the *Mannheim metric*.

We can give an alternative Mannheim metric which is equivalent to above definition.

For this, we first give a modulo function from the Galois field $GF(p)$ to the $A_p[w]$.

Definition 2 *Let $\pi = a + bw$ such that $\pi\overline{\pi} = p = a^2 + ab + b^2 \equiv 1 \ (mod\ (6))$, where p is a prime and $a, b \in \mathcal{Z}$. We define the modulo function $\mu : GF\,(p) \to A_p\,[w]$ as*

$$\mu\,(l) = \begin{cases} x + yw, & |x| + |y| \leq \left|x^{'}\right| + \left|y^{'}\right| \\ x^{'} + y^{'}\overline{w}, & |x| + |y| > \left|x^{'}\right| + \left|y^{'}\right| \end{cases}.$$

Here, $x + ry \equiv l \ (mod\ p)$ and $x + yw = x^{'} + y^{'}\overline{w}$, where $a + br \equiv 0 \ (mod\ p)$, $0 \leq r \leq p - 1$.

For example, $w^2 = -1 + w = -\overline{w}$. So, $x, y, x^{'}, y^{'}$ are $-1, 1, 0, -1$, respectively.

Example 4 *Let $p = 7 \equiv 1 \ (mod\ 6)$. Then, $\pi = 1 + 2w$. The only solution to the equation $1 + 2r \equiv 0 \ (mod\ 7)$, where $0 \leq r \leq 6$ is 3. Thus, we obtain the elements of $A_7[w]$ using by the modulo function μ as*

$$\begin{gathered} \mu(0) = \ 0; \\ \mu(1) = \ 1; \\ \mu(2) = -\overline{w}; \\ \mu(3) = \ w; \\ \mu(4) = -w; \\ \mu(5) = \ \overline{w}; \\ \mu(6) = -1. \end{gathered}$$

Hence, we obtain $A_7[w] = \{0, \pm 1, \pm w, \pm\overline{w}\}$.

Definition 3 *Given an element $\gamma = x + yw = x^{'} + y^{'}\overline{w}$ in $A_p[w]$, we define the Mannheim weight of γ as*

$$W_m(\gamma) = \begin{cases} |x| + |y|\,, \ |x| + |y| \leq \left|x^{'}\right| + \left|y^{'}\right| \\ \left|x^{'}\right| + \left|y^{'}\right|\,, \ |x| + |y| > \left|x^{'}\right| + \left|y^{'}\right| \end{cases}$$

We also define the Mannheim distance between any two elements α and β in $A_p[w]$ as

$$d_m(\alpha, \beta) = W_m(\delta),$$

where $\delta \equiv \alpha - \beta \ (mod\ \pi)$, $\delta \in A_p[w]$.

It should be noted that, in general, the Mannheim distance d_M defined in [1] and the Mannheim distance d_m given here are not isomorphic, as shown in the next example.

Example 5 *Consider $A_p[w]^1$ and the elements 1 and $\pm w^2$. We note that*

$$d_M(1,0) = W_M(1) = 1 = d_m(1,0) = W_m(1)$$

while

$$d_M(\pm w^2, 0) = W_M(\pm w^2) = 2 \neq 1 = d_m(\pm w^2, 0) = W_m(\pm w^2).$$

2 1−Error-Correcting Perfect Codes

In this section, β will denote an element of order $6n = p - 1$ such that $\beta^n = w$. Thus, β is a primitive element of $A_p[w]$.

Let $p = 6n + 1$ be a prime in $\mathcal{Z}$ which factors in $\mathcal{Z}[w]$ as $\pi\overline{\pi}$, where π is a prime in $\mathcal{Z}[w]$. Let β denote an element of

$$A_p\left[w\right] \cong Z\left[w\right]/\langle\pi\rangle$$

of order $6n$. Hence $\beta^n = w$, and since β is a primitive element of $A_p[w]$, it can written $A_p[w] = \langle\beta\rangle \cup \{0\}$. Now let C be the null-space of the matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta & \beta^2 & \cdots & \beta^{n-1} \\ 1 & \beta^7 & \beta^{14} & \cdots & \beta^{7(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \beta^{6t+1} & \left(\beta^{6t+1}\right)^2 & \cdots & \left(\beta^{6t+1}\right)^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where $t < n$. An $n-$tuple

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} c_0, & c_1, & \cdots, & c_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in A_p^n\left[w\right]$$

is a codeword of C if and only if $Hc^t = 0$, where c^t denotes the transpose of c. If $c(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^i$ is the associated code polynomial, we get

$$c(\beta^{6j+1}) = 0, \text{for} j = 0, 1, \cdots, t.$$

The polynomial $g(x) = (x-\beta)(x-\beta^7)\cdots(x-\beta^{6t+1})$ is the generator polynomial of C, and $C = \langle g(x)\rangle$ is an ideal of $A_p[w][x]/\langle x^n - w\rangle$. If multiplying a code polynomial $c(x)$ by $x \ (mod(x^n - w))$, we get

$$xc(x) = c_0 x + c_1 x^2 + \cdots + c_{n-1} x^n,$$

which belongs to C. We know that $x^n = w$. Therefore, if $c(x) \in C$, then $xc(x) \in C$. Thus, multiplying $c(x)$ by $x(\text{mod}(x^n - w))$ means the following:

1. Shifting $c(x)$ cyclically one position to the right;

2. Rotating the coefficient c_{n-1} by $\pi/3$ radians in the complex plane and substituting it for the first symbol of the new codeword.

Therefore, code C defined by the parity check matrix in (1) is a $w-$cyclic codes by considering a primitive root β such that $\beta^n = w$.

Theorem 1 *Let C be the null-space of the matrix*

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta & \beta^7 & \cdots & \beta^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

Then C is able to correct any error pattern of the form $e(x) = e_i x^i$, where $W_m(e_i) = 1$.

The proof of Thm. 1 is the same as the proof of Thm. 7 in [1]

Recall that the elements of Mannheim weight 1 of the alphabet $A_p[w]$ are $\pm 1,\ \pm w,\ \pm\overline{w}$. By the sphere-packing we get

$$p^{n-1}(6n+1) = p^{n-1}p = p^n.$$

Hence, the codes defined by the parity check matrix in (4) are perfect.

References

[1] T. P. da N. Neto, J. C. Interlando., "Lattice constellation and codes from quadratic number fields," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 47, No. 4, May. 2001.

[2] K. Huber., "Codes Over Gaussian integers," IEEE Trans. Inform.Theory, vol. 40, pp. 207-216, Jan. 1994.

[3] C. Martinez, R. Beivide and E. Gabidulin., "Perfect codes for metrics induced by circulant graphs," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 53, No. 9, Sep. 2007.